Palm Beach School Distr. ¿Summary Rating Report

W.G. Mills Constr. Co.	Overall Analysis 7.4			
Efficiency & Flexibility				
Meeting The Project Budget		8.6	RATING SURVEY DETAIL	
Meeting The Program Schedule		6.6		
3. Value For Dollars Spent		8	Company Name: W.G. Mills Construction Co., Inc. Cumulative Average Rating: 7.4 Number of Surveys Taken: 8	
4.Commitment To Do Whatever It Takes		7.8		
5. Good And Timely Decision Making Capability		7		
6. Finishea Project Quality		7.9		
	p+1000000000000000000000000000000000000		No Comment Desirate	
7. Value Engineering Process Results		7.6	RatingSource.Com researches and surveys Owners and/or Owners' Project Managers of recently completed projects performed by the Contractor/Construction Manager within the last five years. Research is provided by submitting a questionnaire to each Owner containing the criteria shown on the far left column. RatingSource requests each Owner to score the Contractor's performance for each specified project from a 1 (worst) to 10 (best) scale (see below) covering each criteria. The ratings are averaged for each project from five to ten recent and related projects to achieve the cumulative scores appearing in the	
8. Expediting System		7		
9. Zero Defect/Quality Program		6.9		
10. Staffed With Capable Skilled Personnel	-	7.4		
11. Skilled Field Supervisors		7.8		
12.Computer Skills (CAD/Communication)		8.2		
Communication & Interpersonal Skills	in an in the second second	· .	third column. The overall rating, which appears at the top of the second column, is	
13. Coordinating Team Members		7.4	determined by averaging all scores from the criteria. All numerical ratings for the criteria are provided by Owners and Project Managers of their respective projects. All raings are periodically updated as Architectural Firms complete new projects. Research concludes that firms whose scores are in the range of 8 (+/- 1) or greater tend to result in higher levels of success in their projects.	
14. Ability To Listen/Communication Skills		7.2		
15. Owner Training Process-Thoroughness/Timeline	ss	7.8		
16. Construction Site Cleanliness/Orderliness	is inverse macissississississississississississississ	7.4		
Responsibility & Management Record		adaggi a d	RATING	
17 Pre-Construction Cost Estimating		7.2		
18. Pre-Construction Management Control System		7	Rating Value 10 Exceeded our highest expectations/an extraordinary performance 9 Excellent. Truly superior in every category. 8 Very Good. Better than most. 7 Good. A solid performance. 6 Acceptable performance	
19. Pre-Construction Scheduling		7.4		
20. Project Cost System		7.6		
21 Safety Program And Record		8.1		
22. Clarms - Reasonableness And Timeliness			5 Acceptable, some minor complaints	
23 Close Out Process		5.6	Barely acceptable. At least one major complaint in a key area Poor. Many complaints in many areas or categories. Very Poor Performance. Many problems and mistakes in key areas Worst. The worst we have ever worked with.	
24 Follow-Up After Completion		6.3		
Total Average		7.4		
T. I.D. C.		8		